UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/562,279  | 04/21/2006  | Hiroyuki Koyama      | YH0026-US1          | 3909             |
| Marguerite E Gerstner Tyco Electronics Corporation Intellectual Property Law Department 307 Constitution Drive Mail Stop R20 2B Menlo Park, CA 94025-1164 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | LEE, KYUNG S        |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2832                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 03/20/2008          | PAPER            |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 10/562,279  | KOYAMA ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | K. Richard Lee  | 2832   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | N.<br>imely filed<br>in the mailing date of this communication.<br>ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status   |   |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under  | nis action is non-final.<br>vance except for formal matters, p  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2.9 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and, Application Papers  | rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.   |  |
| <ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2005 is</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration</li> </ul>  | /are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object<br>the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se<br>ection is required if the drawing(s) is o   | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:      1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applica<br>iority documents have been receiv<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | tion No<br>ved in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  | Date   |

Application/Control Number: 10/562,279 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gronowicz, Jr., US Pat. 5,818,676.
- 3. Gronowicz teaches a composite PTC device (figs. 2 and 3) with a pair of electrodes 20a and 22b each mutually separated and deployed in one side of the PTC element (one side shown in fig. 2) electrically connected to one terminal 16, while the other electrodes 20b and 22a are electrically connected to another terminal 14.
- 4. Regarding claim 8, Gronowicz discloses the current flow from one terminal though the PTC element and to the other terminal.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gronowicz in view of Jacobs et al., US Pat. 5,140,297.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,279 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

7. Gronowicz teaches the claimed invention except for the PTC element having a cavity through the thickness direction of the PTC element. Jacobs teaches a PTC element having a cavity penetrating through the PTC element (fig. 2) for the purpose of embedding electrodes 2. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have cavity embedded electrodes as taught by Jacobs with the PTC device of Gronowicz, since the cavity embedded electrode would allow internal electrical connection. Regarding claim 4, with cavity embedded electrodes, the end surface of the cavity section being positioned near the peripheral region of the electrode would be most logical.

8. Regarding claims 5 to 7, Gronowicz and Jacobs disclose the claimed invention except for use in automotive safety protection at 600VDC and 12VDC at normal use state. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have manufactured the PTC device having an optimum value for use as needed, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA1980). The optimum value can vary as needed since PTC device can be employed in various circuitries.

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2, 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Richard Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on M and W-F from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. Richard Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2832